

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,247	02/14/2002	Paul A. Wender	8400-0013	3262
23980	0 7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
REED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP 1400 PAGE MILL ROAD			GUDIBANDE, SAT	TYANARAYAN R
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1124		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1654	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ĥ						
7		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/078,247	WENDER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Satyanarayana R. Gudibande	1654			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
c	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)(Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicatio	Application Papers					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) <u></u> ⊤	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/078,247

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: Super group 1 consists of the following inventions,

- Claims 3 and 10, are drawn to composition of transport moiety with structure (ZYZ)_nZ, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- II. Claims 4 and 11, are drawn to composition of transport moiety with structure (ZY)_nZ, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- III. Claims 5, 12 and 26, are drawn to composition of transport moiety with structure(ZYY)_nZ, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- IV. Claims 6, 13 and 27, are drawn to composition of transport moiety with structure
 (ZYYY)_nZ, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- V. Claims 30-35, (assuming claims 31-35 are intended to depend on claim 30) are drawn to method of use classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Inventions I, II, III and IV are distinct. Compounds of the instant application are distinct, absent evidence to the contrary, and would require a unique search strategy. Search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the non-patented literature search. The search for the distinct compounds is conducted based on their chemical structure. Therefore, the search of one chemical structure would not necessarily lead to the discovery of another structure, nor would it necessarily lead to the discovery of methods of using and/or making the same.

Art Unit: 1654

Inventions I-IV and V are related as process of making and process of using the product. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of making the conjugates can be applied to making protein-DNA conjugates.

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14-26, 28 and 29 link(s) inventions I, II, III and IV. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14-26, 28 and 29. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art, and the search for one invention would not necessarily lead to the discovery of another invention, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, and to not restrict would be an undue burden on the Examiner.

Art Unit: 1654

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many conjugates of distinct structural features.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14-26, 28 and 29, are generic.

Applicants are required to elect a single species of the transport moiety disclosing the amino acid sequence, a single conjugate structure clearly identifying all R groups to represent a single variation, X and Y clearly identified and various subscripts such as k, n and m defined to

Art Unit: 1654

represent an integer. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satyanarayana R. Gudibande whose telephone number is 571-272-8146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/078,247 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

srg

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Brune Campell